

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

al

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/604,252	07/06/2003	Dennis R. Sigl	ITW7510.070	1251
33647 75	590 03/16/2005		EXAM	INER
ZIOLKOWSKI PATENT SOLUTIONS GROUP, SC (ITW) 14135 NORTH CEDARBURG ROAD			CHERVINSKY, BORIS LEO	
MEQUON, W			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2835	
			DATE MAILED: 03/16/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Cummers	10/604,252	SIGL ET AL.	•	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Boris L. Chervinsky	2835	٠.	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status		•		
	Sobruga, 2005	•		
<ul> <li>1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 F</u></li> <li>2a)□ This action is FINAL.</li> <li>2b)⊠ This</li> </ul>				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		procedution as to the morita is		
closed in accordance with the practice under	·			
olosed in accordance with the practice under i	Ex parte Quayle, 1933 C.D. 11,	433 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	•	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			• • •	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.			
Application Papers			Q.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		•	
10)  ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 05 December 2003 is/a		cted to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	·	-	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	ce Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•	
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	(a)-(d) or (f).	•••	
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applica	ation No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	•	ved in this National Stage		
application from the International Burea			•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ved.		
Attachment(s)		· :	•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail	• •	. :	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	[]	Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 2835

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 18 is vague and indefinite since the surface is two dimensional by definition, therefore cannot have thickness.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buller et al. in view of Shih.

Buller discloses the extruded heat sink for use in cooling an electronic component 4, the heat sink having a body or base with two sides with a flat planar portion and two laterally facing exterior portions, a plurality of fins 36 extending outwardly from the body and being elongated in the direction along the two lateral exterior portions, each of the lateral surfaces having elongated ridges formed by the groove 34 thereabout extending the full length of the lateral exterior portions, the elongated ridges constructed to

Art Unit: 2835

removably engage the retention means 28 for receiving the heat sink to the frame 2; the heat sink does not have any surface holes and since being extruded (col. 3, line 3) does not need any machining and the retainer maintains contact between the side of the heat sink and the component; the grooves are coplanar. Buller discloses the claimed invention except that the bottom edge of elongated ridges displaced form the flat planar portion above the flat planar portion. Shih discloses the elongated ridges 33, 33a of the heat sink 3 located above, the flat planar portion of the heat sink. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to dispose the elongated ridges above the flat portion as disclosed by Shih in the structure disclosed by Buller. Such modification can be possibly useful for easiness of the removal of the heat sink, although Applicants did not provide any prove or justification that having the groove above the base of the heat sink is advantages for its use or its maintenance and it does not appears to be critical for the invention.

5. Claims 4-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Earl et al. in view of Shih.

Earl discloses the heat sink comprising a base 13, a plurality of fins extending from the first side of the base including the first fin and the last fin and the groove formed in the first and the last fin, the groove is engaging a retainer 40 to provide the contact with the heat generating component 30, the first fin and the last fin having a common section adjacent to the base and divergent section extending from elements 14 and 16, the groove is formed proximate an interface of the common section and the divergent section, the grooves are coplanar. Earl discloses the claimed invention except having

Art Unit: 2835

grooves disposed above the base portion. Shich discloses the elongated ridges 33, 33a of the heat sink 3 located above the base portion of the heat sink. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to dispose the elongated ridges above the base as disclosed by Shih in the structure disclosed by Buller (see motivation comments above). Shih also disclose the aluminum as the material for the heat sink and proportionately designed fins as to be extended from the flat bottom, as it is possible using extrusion process as method of making, therefore it is obvious to keep certain relation between the length of the fins and size of the base.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris L. Chervinsky whose telephone number is 571-272-2039. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn D. Feild can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 35. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 2835

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BORIS CHÉRVINSKY PRIMARY EXAMINER Breis h. Kurr, on

Page 5

3/14/5